UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,456	01/03/2006	William S Oakley	41793-8003.US01	8042
45965 TIPS GROUP	7590 08/18/200	9	EXAMINER	
c/o Intellevate LLC			ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L	
P. O. BOX 520: Minneapolis, M	• •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/563,456	OAKLEY, WILLIAM S				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JORGE L. ORTIZ CRIADO	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	ine 2009					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	A) 🖂 Imateur (c	(DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/01/2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

Applicant's arguments along with the amendments filed 06/01/2009 have been fully considered with the following Office Action results.

Claim Objections

Claims 10-16 and 19-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 16 contains capitalization of letters is misused (for example, claim 16, line 2, "A gating" should be changed to --a gating--), and these capitalizations should be changed to lower case in a manner similar to that shown in the example. Claims 11-16 and 19-21 also contain similar capitalization informalities which should be corrected. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

Applicant is advised that should claim 11 be found allowable, claim 18 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial <u>duplicate</u> thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Requirements for information under 37 CFR § 1.105

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

In the course of examining and treating a matter in the instant pending application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111, the examiner requires the submission, from individuals identified under § 1.56(c), or any assignee, information that is reasonably necessary to properly examine the claimed invention and treat the matter of:

Regarding Applicant's recitation in page 2, third paragraph of the specification, "A **typical** CNT Read-Write head assembly is shown in Fig. 1."

The following information is requested:

(i) Commercial databases: The existence of any particularly relevant commercial database known to any of the inventors that could be searched for a particular aspect of the invention,

Art Unit: 2627

regarding the above "typical CNT Read-Write head".

- (ii) Information used to draft application: A copy of any non-patent literature, published application, or patent (U.S. or foreign) that was used to draft the application, relating the above "typical CNT Read-Write head".
- (iii) Information used in invention process: A copy of any non-patent literature, published application, or patent (U.S. or foreign) that was used in the invention process, such as by designing around or providing a solution to accomplish an invention result, that relates the above "typical CNT Read-Write head".
- (iv) Technical information known to applicant: Technical information known to applicant concerning the related the "typical CNT Read-Write head" pertinent to patentability, or concerning the accuracy of such item.

This requirement is an attachment of the enclosed Office action. A complete reply to the enclosed Office action must include a complete reply to this requirement. The time period for reply to this requirement coincides with the time period for reply to the enclosed Office action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

Application/Control Number: 10/563,456 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jorge L Ortiz-Criado/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627